ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENC Reg. of Office VII 1755 daltimore, Room 249 Kansas City, Missouri 64108

April 21, 1975

Site: Syntax Vention

Site: Modoo7458154

Ereak: 14.1

Other: 0751

4-21-75

Honorable Thomas F. Bagleton United States Senate Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Sonstor Eagleton:

The U.S. Department of the Interior Fish and Wildlife Service forwarded a copy of Mr. George Gleason's letter to this agency for a response concerting a large tank of dioxin in the Springfield area.

Before communiting on the Dioxin question. I would like to briefly address another area mentioned in the letter, fluoridation of water supplies. The Department of Health, Education, and Welfare has program responsibility for this but since EPA provides technical assistance to the Department I believe a response is appropriate.

Sodium fluoride and sodium silicofluoride were used as redent poisons at one time because these chemicals are not accumulative and will not affect the food chain. Additionally, there is no scientific evidence demonstrating that fluoridation at proper levels will have any adverse health affects. Some 48 national and world health organizations endorse fluoridation.

With respect to the possibility of sedium fluoride in excessive amounts, we would point out that the average city using one million gallons of water per day will use 19 pounds of chemical par day. In order to reach a possible harmful level, 38,000 pounds of modium fluoride whuld have to be added. The typical chemical feeder holds only 100 pounds.

The Syntex Agribusiness, Inc. facility at Verona does have approximately 4.600 gallons of Dioxid (2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzodioxin) stored in a mild steel tank. This tank is situated on a solid concrete slab surrounded by a concrete dike. All lines connecting this tank with adjacent buildings have been disconnected, thereby providing total isolation of the tank. Additionally, the Dioxin is in the form 66 a solid at atmospheric temperature and, therefore, would not escape even if the storage tank should rupture.

Even though the material poses no danger to the environment at present, the Company wishes to dispose of it as soon as possible. They

CIGR: RRitter:me:x5495

CIGR

RGAD



40030212 SUPERFUND RECORDS RECEIVED

APR 2 2 1975

EPA - ARHM REGION VII. K. C., MO. are presently regotisting an agreement with a disposal company and expect the material will be destructed within two or three menths. Frocedures for handling the Dioxin are being coordinated between concerned Federal and State agencies.

We are pleased with the responsible attitude being taken by the Syntex Agribusiness, Inc. in this matter, particularly since no Federal agency has regulatory authority over problems of this nature. The Toxic Substance Control Act, Senate Bill 426, now being considered by the Congress would provide such authority.

If we may be of further assistance, please contact us.

Sincerely yours,

Jeromo H. Svore Regional Administrator

bec: Eq. AL (AL-2730)
WATE Program
AREM Program